UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MALCOLM MADISON,

Plaintiff.

-against-

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY,

Defendant.

20-CV-11137 (CM)

ORDER DIRECTING ORIGINAL SIGNATURE AND UPDATED IFP APPLICATION

COLLEEN McMAHON, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who proceeds *pro se*, submitted the complaint without a signature. Rule 11(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "[e]very pleading, written motion, and other paper must be signed by at least one attorney of record in the attorney's name – or by a party personally if the party is unrepresented." *See also* Local Civil Rule 11.1(a). The Supreme Court has interpreted Rule 11(a) to require "as it did in John Hancock's day, a name handwritten (or a mark handplaced)." *Becker v. Montgomery*, 532 U.S. 757, 764 (2001). Plaintiff is therefore directed to sign the signature page of the complaint and resubmit it to the Court within thirty days of the date of this order. A copy of the signature page is attached to this order.

In addition, Plaintiff submitted an *in forma pauperis* (IFP) application with his complaint, but he did not answer all of the questions on the application. The Court therefore directs Plaintiff to submit an amended IFP application and file it with the Court within thirty days of the date of this order. An amended IFP application, which Plaintiff must fully complete and sign before returning, is attached to this order. In the alternative, Plaintiff can pay the \$402.00 in fees required to commence this action.¹

¹ The \$402.00 in fees includes a \$350.00 filing fee and a \$52.00 administrative fee.

Case 1:20-cv-11137-CM Document 5 Filed 01/05/21 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff consents to electronic service of documents. (ECF No. 4.) No summons shall

issue at this time. If Plaintiff complies with this order, the case shall be processed in accordance

with the procedures of the Clerk's Office. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order within the

time allowed, the action will be dismissed without prejudice.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an

appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444–45 (1962) (holding that appellant

demonstrates good faith when seeking review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

January 5, 2021

New York, New York

COLLEEN McMAHON

Chief United States District Judge

2